

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/421,846	10/20/1999	JEAN-MARC ANDREOLI	R/97005Q	4669
•	7590 10/30/2003		EXAM	IINER
OLFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

4

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/421,846	ANDREOLI ET AL.	•				
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William L. Bashore	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•					
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the mailing date of this period for reply expire later the only of the period for reply expires the control of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expire later the one of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi</li></ul>	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP				
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the state of the period of extended from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (	ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.				
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
0. Other:	Lens	1					
	SANJIV S PRIMARY EX	SHAH KAMINER	į				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In additional support of the instant rejections, Rubinstein '233 teaches "attribute value relations" in the form of keyword relevance codes (i.e. Rubenstein '233 Figure 2 items 206, 208). Rubenstein also teaches "logical relations" via dragging and dropping keywords from item 205, into pane items 242 and 246 (see also Rubenstein '233 column 4 lines 10-16). Since the query within item 250 of Figure 2 can result from previous construction as explained above (see Rubenstein '233 column 4 lines 49-55), said query can be interpreted as "document constraint descriptors" (associated with said relevance codes), because it acts as a descriptor to constrain data so as to fit said query.

Page 2 of Applicant's arguments define a "sort" as a unary relation, expressing a property of a single entity. It is respectfully submitte that, without further clarification in the claimed limitations, a "sort" can also be interpreted within the scope of the art as rearanging data items to fit a certain pattern (i.e. alphabetical sorting, etc.).

SANJIV SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER